

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/712,598 11/13/2003		David F. Laurash	STD 1017 NA/41213.568/PD-	9009			
	7590	04/29/2004		EXAMINER			
DINSMORE	& SHO	OHL LLP	CARTER, MONICA SMITH				
One Dayton C	entre, S	uite 500					
Dayton, OH	45402-2	2023	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
• ,				3722			

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						_			
			Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
			10/712,598		LAURASH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit				
			Monica S. Car		3722				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appe	ears on the co	ver she t with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. so) days, a reply verture period will of will, by statute, or	6(a). In no event, h within the statutory Il apply and will exp cause the application	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ton to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						•			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 No	vember 2003						
· <u> </u>		-	action is non-						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the abaye claim(s) is/acclaim(s) is/acclaim(s) is/acclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdraw							
Application	on Papers								
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	•						
10)□ T	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dr	rawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		•	• • • •		` '			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(-	_					
1) ⊠ Notice 2) ☐ Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) 🛛 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/12/04</u> .		_	Notice of Informal Pa)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,598 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,682,099. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are related as genus/species. A review of the patented file record indicates that there are no apparent reasons why applicant was prevented from presenting and fully prosecuting a generic claim during the examination of the parent. Since patentee has voluntarily elected to obtain early issuance of claims directed to a species and to pursue the prosecution of the genus in a continuation, the court in In re Goldman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993) held that, absent a terminal disclaimer, the patentee would gain an unjust extension of the 17-year term of the species when the broad genus was issued.

Consistent with the holding of <u>In re Goldman</u>, supra, a terminal disclaimer is required before this application may be passed to issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,598

Art Unit: 3722

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00 AM - 4:30

PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 26, 2004

Page 3